(&LögnTab.inc)

## Table 97:1

	(Dora)	(Michael)	(Florence)	(The lady with the stain on the table cloth)
1.	Dora got asthma at the age of 8.	Michael suffered from a stiff leg.	Florence suffered from anxiety attacks	The lady suffered from the "compulsive act" of ringing at the maid and giving her a trifling order.
1b.			These attacks "preferred" [!] "a certain hour [!] of the day".	When doing so, she went to another room and placed herself next to the table, upon which was lying a table-cloth.
2.	Freud applied <i>the principle</i> <i>of similarity</i> : the asthma syndrome must have been caused by an event which is <i>similar</i> to asthma.	Freud applied <i>the principle principle of similarity</i> : the stiff leg must have been caused by an event which is <i>similar</i> to a stiff leg.	Freud applied <i>the principle</i> <i>of similarity</i> : the anxiety attacks must have been caused by an event which is <i>similar</i> to anxiety attacks.	Freud applied <i>the principle</i> <i>of similarity</i> : the compulsive act must have been caused by an event which is <i>similar</i> to the compulsive act.
3.	He also applied the principle of interpretation that the causal event must have a close relation to genital	He also applied the principle of interpretation that the causal event must have a close relation to genital	He also applied the principle of interpretation that the causal event must have a close relation to genital	He may also have applied the principle of interpretation that the causal event must have a close relation to genital

sexuality.

4.

## sexuality.

He did *not* apply this principle of interpretation.

principle of interpretation that the symptom had been caused by want of sexual orgasm and of such acts which will normally lead to sexual orgasm.

Likewise, he applied the

5. He constructed the following *interpretation*: Dora had been spying upon her parents' performing sexual intercourse. He constructed the following *interpretation*: During his preschool age, an adult woman used his foot as a masturbation tool. sexuality.

He did *not* apply this principle of interpretation.

He constructed the following *interpretation*: During her preschool age, an adult man regularly visited the family and performed a sexual assault at the very same hour which the anxiety attacks had "preferred". sexuality.

Likewise, he applied the principle of interpretation that the symptom had been caused by want of sexual orgasm and of such acts which will normally lead to sexual orgasm.

He constructed the following *interpretation*: On the wedding night her husband turned out to be sexually impotent. Several times during the night he came to her room and failed. In order to conceal his failure to the maid, he produced a stain with red ink on the sheet, but unfortunately on the wrong place. At the time Freud met her, the wife tried to show another maid another stain on the right place.

- 6. Freud transformed his interpretation into observations.
- 7. He asserted untruthfully that Dora herself recounted that she recalled how she was spying, was scared by her father's breathing (he had TBC), ceased to masturbate, and got asthma instead.
- 8. He explicitly and untruthfully asserted that psychoanalytic treatment will reguarly lead to re-entrance into consciousness of hitherto repressed memories.
- 9. On one page Freud claims that *before* he met Dora, he knew, that asthma is regularly caused by spying upon fathers with sick lungs, being scared from their breathing, and ceasing to masturbate.

Freud transformed his interpretation into observations. He asserted untruthfully that Michael entirely on his own recounted the sexual assaults, which he managed to recall after Freud had lifted the repression.

He explicitly and untruthfully asserted that psychoanalytic treatment will reguarly lead to re-entrance into consciousness of hitherto repressed memories. On one page Freud claims that *he himself* was the one who introduced the causal event into the dialogue, and that Michael *denied* having any recollection of the kind. Freud transformed his interpretation into observations. He asserted untruthfully that Florence entirely on her own recounted the sexual assaults, which she managed to recall after Freud had lifted the repression.

He explicitly and untruthfully asserted that psychoanalytic treatment will reguarly lead to re-entrance into consciousness of hitherto repressed memories. On one page Freud claims that *he himself* was the one who introduced the causal event into the dialogue, and that Florence *denied* having any recollection of the kind. Freud may have transformed what may have been an interpretation, into observations. It may have been an untruthful assertion that the woman entirely on her own recounted what happened on the wedding night, after Freud had lifted the repression.

He explicitly and untruthfully asserted that psychoanalytic treatment will reguarly lead to re-entrance into consciousness of hitherto repressed memories. Freud illustrates extensively and with much detail how this interpretation in the most easy way follows from his general theoretical view: "table and cloth" are symbols of "bed and sheet". He demonstrates that he is so 10. On a different page Freud forcefully emphasizes that he carefully abstained from making any interpretation, even to himself. He simply let the facts speak for themselves. On a different page Freud emphasizes that Michael's account came as a total surprise, and that he for a long period refused to believe his own ears. Allegedly, he had entertained the prejudiced view that hysterical symptoms have a *non-sexual* etiology. Only slowly and under much resistance did he finally manage to face the truth. On a different page Freud emphasizes that Florence's account came as a total surprise, and that he for a long period refused to believe his own ears. Allegedly, he had entertained the prejudiced view that hysterical symptoms have a *non-sexual* etiology. Only slowly and under much resistance did he finally manage to face the truth. permeated by the aim of searching for a sexual etiology, that it is a sheer impossibility that he would not as a matter of routine and at the start of the treatment have gathered information about the nature of the patient's sexual life. On a different page Freud assures he would never on his own have been capable of arriving at the interpretation made by the lady.